# OPEN ORBITER

#### Overview

Space exploration and satellite missions often carry Initial efforts in developing the intelligent ADCS focused on creating a system capable of learning its own moveequipment that must be accurately pointed towards ment model. This movement model defines how a givdistant targets, therefore making an effective attitude en action, such as altering the speed of reaction determination and control system (ADCS) a vital component of almost every spacecraft. However, the effecwheels, affects certain sensor data, such as gyroscope tiveness of the ADCS could decrease drastically if comreadings. Action-result pairs from previous maneuvers ponents shift during launch, degrade in efficiency over are stored. When the ADCS is required to perform a given action, a lazy learning approach is used to analyze the course of the mission, or simply fail. Prior work [0] has presented a concept for a adaptive ADCS which can the point data to generate possible actions that are respond to changing spacecraft conditions and environlikely to achieve the goal. Since potentially multiple acmental factors. This poster presents an implementations could yield the same result, the candidate actions tion for a lazy learning ADCS is presented that uses past are evaluated using a heuristic to select the most powmaneuver data to construct and refine a model of the er efficient option. spacecraft's movement.

#### Background

The development of autonomous learning attitude control loop is presented in Figure 2. trol systems is an open problem in the area of autonomous control. One approach [1] uses of fuzzy logic controllers to replace the standard linear controllers in an **Experimental Testing** ADCS for a small satellite to improve the system's performance. Another study [2] examined using fuzzy logic To verify the effectiveness of the action generation algocontrollers to reduce the limit cycle of an attitude conrithm, a set of tests were developed to evaluate the systrol system, using a reinforcement learning to tune the tem's performance across a range of scenarios. The sysrelationship between system parameters and potential tem was tested with five different training sets and five actions. A slightly different application of autonomous different target attitudes (see Table 1). To identify how control techniques has also been investigated to create the system responded to sensor and actuator error, five different error levels (see Table 2) and five different error a fault-tolerant ADCS capable of detecting and adapting to degradation in actuator performance without prior drift rates (see Table 3) were simulated for the attitude sensor, gyroscope sensor, and actuator output. Varying knowledge of the spacecraft's specific systems as dethese parameters resulted in 1875 tests and five training scribed in [3] and [4]. runs for a total of 1880 simulations.



Figure 1. Screenshot of STK animation of attitude maneuver

## Software Design for an Intelligent Attitude Determination and Control System

Matthew Russell<sup>1</sup>, Jeremy Straub<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>School of Engineering and Computational Sciences, Liberty University <sup>2</sup>Department of Computer Science, University of North Dakota

#### Work To Date

To demonstrate the effectiveness of this concept, a control loop was constructed that utilized the action generation algorithm to reorient a CubeSat spacecraft from one attitude to another. An overview of this con-

_	Table 1. Targe <sup>-</sup>	t att	itude	conditi	ons	5				
	Condition		1	2	3		4		5	
	Yaw	90°		0°	(	)°	45°	13	5°	
	Pitch	0°		90°	0	)°	-45°	70	)°	
	Roll	0°		0°	-9	90°	45°	18	30°	
Table 2. Error conditions										
	Condition Error Level		1	2		3	4	5	5	
			-5%	5 -1%	, )	0%	1%	5%	6	
Table 3. Error drift conditions										
Condition		1		2		3	4		5	
<b>Error Drift/Sec</b>		-0.05%		-0.02%		0%	0.02	2%	0.05%	

S) dia <u>で</u> 0.8 С Ц Ц 0.4





#### Table 4. Summary of results

Total Maneuvers	1875
Successful Maneuvers	1267
Success Rate	67.6%
Avg. Successful Maneuver Time	8.33 sec
Avg. Successful Maneuver Final Error	0.00498 rad
Success Rate w/ Attitude Error	0.83%
Success Rate w/ Gyroscope/Actuator Error	98.9%
Success Rate w/ No Error	100%



Figure 3. Example graph of error throughout a successful maneuver

The data presented in Table 4 shows that the ADCS was successfully able to populate a database of training points through a sequence of training maneuvers and use those points to orient to a target attitude. The overall success rate for all 1875 tests was 67.6%. A more detailed analysis of the situations which led to a maneuver failure reveals that virtually all of the failures occurred when the system was subjected to some amount of attitude error. This result is easily explainable given that the ADCS relies on the attitude reading to evaluate its own success. If the reading is off by even a few fractions of a percent, the system may stabilize far enough away from the target attitude to be considered a failure.

A very different set of results occurred when the ADCS dealt with varying levels of either gyroscope or actuator error. The system successfully reached and stabilized at the target attitude for 98.9% of the tests. This seems to indicate that the system is robust when exposed to small errors and drifting values in gyroscope readings as well as variations in actuator performance.

In this study the use of lazy machine learning techniques in an adaptive attitude control system was investigated. Prototype ADCS software was developed that utilized a database of previous maneuver information to accurately generate actions that would produce a desired set of sensor deltas. Using this intelligent movement model, basic attitude maneuvers were tested using AGI's Systems Toolkit. From this, a set of training points was gathered and used to both accurately and precisely rotate the spacecraft to a target attitude in multiple experimental conditions with various levels of simulated sensor and actuator error.

0.	Straub
	and Co
	the Pr
1.	Cheng
	troller
2.	Van Bu
	tive fu
	tions o
3.	Hu <i>,</i> Q.
	attituo
	5, Iss.
4.	Lee, H
	systen
	•
Ih	is rese
/	

Defense.



#### Analysis

#### **Conclusions and Future Work**

#### References

o, J., M. Wegerson, R. Marsh. 2015. An Intelligent Attitude Determination ontrol System for a CubeSat Class Spacecraft. Accepted for publication in oceedings of the AIAA 2015 Space Forum.

, C., Shu, S., Cheng, P. 2009. Attitude control of a satellite using fuzzy cons. Expert Systems with Applications 36, 6613-6620.

uijtenen, W. M., Schram, G., Babuska, R., Verbruggen, H. B. 1998. Adapizzy control of satellite attitude by reinforcement learning. IEEE Transacon Fuzzy Systems. Vol 6, No. 2. 185-194.

, Xiao, B., Friswell, M. I. 2011. Robust fault-tolerant control for spacecraft de stabilization subject to input saturation. IET Control Theory Appl., Vol. 2.271-282.

, Kim, Y. 2010. Fault-tolerant control scheme for satellite attitude control n. IET Control Theory Appl., Vol. 4, Iss. 8, 1436-1450.

### Acknowledgements

earch was funded by the U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF Award #1359224) with support from the U.S. Department of